* SECRET *

1

CENTER OF MILITARY HISTORY

+ + + + +

INTERVIEW OF

MAJ. GENERAL JAMES SIMMONS

DEPUTY COMMANDING GENERAL (US)

+ + + + + 15 JUNE 2007

BAGHDAD, IRAO MULTINATIONAL CORPS - IRAQ

. + + + + 15 JUNE 2007

(This transcript was produced from tapes provided by the Center of Military History.)

* SECRET *

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 * SECRET *

2

APProved for Release

* SECRET *

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

* SECRET *

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	b 3 b 6 This is b 3, b 6
3	(b)(3),(b)(6), the Multinational Corps Iraq
4	historian. Today is Friday, the 15th of June
5	2007 at approximately 1830. We are at the Al
6	Faw Palace at Camp Victory outside of
7	Baghdad, Iraq, and we are interviewing Major
8	General James E. Simmons. Also with me is
9	(b)(6) : This is b 6
10	(b)(6) U.S. Army Center of Military History.
11	b 3 b 6 Sir, would you be
12	kind enough to introduce yourself in your own
13	words, so the Astener can identify you?
14	MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Major
15	General James E. Simmons, the Deputy
16	Commanding General for Support for
17	Multinational Corps - Iraq.
18	(b)(3), (b)(6) Great. Thank
19	you, sir.
20	Sir, I wanted to start off by
21	asking: You're really one of certainly,
22	one of those in the Corps with the most
	* SECRET*

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

* S E C R E T *

longevity. If I understand properly, you had originally been assigned to III Corps in July of 2003, and I was just wondering if you could talk us through a little bit of -- That's not the position you originally came to the Corps in, the one that you are in now. I wondered if you might talk us through a little bit of your background.

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Oh, no, that is the position that I had been in as -- I've been the Deputy Commanding General of III Corps since July of 2003, but I have served in several different capacities as we have executed the deployments into and out of Iraq.

I received the notification that the Corps was going to replace V Corps on the 8th of August 2003 as the Corps was participating in UFL, and I received a phone call from General Cody who at that time was the G-3 of the Army, and I first came to Iraq in August of 2003 and was here August and

* SECRET *

* SECRET *

September of 2003 as the lead officer in the planning for the transition between V Corps and III Corps.

building This that we. are currently in was in a significant state of We had been bombed where the JOC disrepair. (Phonetic) is currently located, taking 2,000 pounder. You could look up at the sky from in there. There was ver little power in here, and most of the rooms were occupied by soldiers who were using it as a place to after they had completed their bed down duties.

I went back to Fort Hood, took the lessons that we had learned and planned for the first MRX for the transfer between the two corps, which was going to be phased, and General Metz, who was initially going to be going to be General Sanchez's Deputy, and then in the April time frame the Corps would stand up as a corps and split away from CJT-F7, and General Casey would come in and take

* SECRET*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

command.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

During the first deployment, I was responsible for the RSO&I of the units that were coming in under the Corps, and ran a forward command post at Camp Udari in Kuwait where we pushed the 138,000 soldiers through Kuwait and into Iraq at the same time we were pulling the 134,000 soldiers of Voorps out.

At the end of that time, I'm well February the end of I moved At title was here, and Commanding General, III Corps. I was not part of CJTF-Mand I was here until April, capacity primarily and I was responsible for the separate formations that here, the MI Brigade, the MP Brigade, the similar brigade, the 185th Aviation formation, and the 13th (Inaudible).

b 3 b 6 Those are the Corps troops, right?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Corps troops, right. And then in April it was * SECRET*

decided that did not have sufficient we command and control for receiving the (Inaudible) Division back at Fort Hood or for fishing the remainder of the 1st Cavalry Division out of Fort Hood. So I was returned Fort Hood to as the Commanding General initially of Fort Hood, the Deputy and Commanding General of III Rear, Corps Major General Bobby Wilson, at that time the Commanding General 7th Division, Rear was the General.

Immediately following the 4th Com change of command, General O'Neill (Phonetic) changed that arrangement and made me the Commanding General of III Corps Rear and the Commanding General of Fort Hood, supervised the training, employment redeployment of 65 percent of the Corps for about 14-month period while the completed its mission over here.

Whenever the Corps came home and

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

after a period of time after the Corps came home, I reverted back to being the Deputy Corps Commander for General Metz, and then when we had the change of command between General Metz and General Odierno, I remained in that position.

We worked our way through the MRX, a great deal of discussion about roles, duties and responsibilities, because with my departure out of Iraq in April of 2004, we had not had a U.S. Deputy.

In regards to that, the British Deputy that was here became the principal deputy to the Commanding General, and that situation really had remained kind of fuzzy until General Hahn (Phonetic) came in with V Corps, and because of the confusion that was created about the roles and responsibilities of the DCG, we spent a considerable amount of time at Fort Hood trying to codify the roles that the British Deputy would play, the U.S. Deputy would play and, in our case, the

* S E C R E T *

* SECRET*

Canadian Deputy would play, because we have an assigned Deputy Commanding General in III Corps who is a Canadian, General Devlin,

4 before him General Tingin (Phonetic).

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

General Odierno crafted out duties very carefully the and responsibilities of the DCGs, and then on the 15th of December after the Tola renamed the Deputies to the Deputy Commanding General for Operations and Intelligence, the Deputy Commanding General for Support -- that's my role -- and General Devlin as the Deputy Commanding eneral Coalition for Infrastructure.

So that's kind of how we got here.

(b)(3),(b)(6) Great, sir. That actually answers a lot of interesting questions about how the structure went.

So one of the things that I didn't realize is that was a unique structure, but this was not a pattern that V Corps was following then.

* C F C P F T *

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: No, it was not. My duties here in this position -- and my principal duty is to exercise command. General Odierno put his hand across that board right there and said everything above the line belongs to Odierno; everything below the line belongs to Simmons. Those were the separate troops for the Corps.

Over here, right now that consists of 17 brigades, several of those formations commanded by general officers, and about 34,000 soldiers. In addition to that, I am responsible for four focus areas that General Odierno has given me: Logistics; aviation; force protection; and basing.

responsibility over those four focus areas and spend a structured amount of time each week in those four focused areas, and then spend the rest of my time out exercising a command function over those separate commands and formations that we have here supporting

* SECRET *

SECRET*

11

the Corps.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

That is exactly (b)(3), (b)(6) where I wanted to ask the next question, sir. Where do you spend most of your time? You spend them out there with the core troops,

helping them out, or back here?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: We usually spend four to five days a week of the palace. We usually depart here about 1030 in the morning, and I will come back in here sometime around 1930-2000 at night.

I have a significant number of formations that are at LSA Anaconda, the 13th Sustainment Command, the 411th Engineers, the 36th Combat Aviation Brigade, a signal battalion, and the 407nd Army Field Services Brigade which is actually an AMC organization, but General Griffin, the AMC Commander, has given me authority to coordinate directly and task that formation, although I don't like report card.

SECRET*

The Sustainment brigades -- we just had the Rip Tower (Phonetic) with the 507th and the 593rd out of Al Asad that supports the Marines. The 45th is located up at Key West. The 82nd is located at Tallil. The 15th is located at Taji, and then we have the 167th that is located at Anaconda, and the other one, I always forget the number of it -- yeah, the 657th is also at Anaconda.

Those are large formations that operate on a general support area or support basis, and it's proven to be successful. This is the new logistics doctrine that has been developed.

While we had a great number of questions on it, the Sustainment Brigade concept has worked well. The 593rd was a CSG or Corps Support Group, but they have done a —— they did a magnificent job while they were here supporting the Marine Corps, and now the 507th is in up there. We'll whip the 45th out, I guess, next week sometime, and then

* S E C R E T *

that will be followed by the 82nd and then the 15th.

Those are the four major ones that operate in the outlying areas. The 67th is - they are in a -- They support a smaller footprint arrangement.

The Signal Brigade is headquartered here, but they have battalions at Anaconda, Spiker. They have one battalion here.

The Milita Intelligence Brigade headquarters located is here. Three battalions battalion here, one Then we've Anaconda. got the which Brigade, is OPCON. The Brigade Headquarters is OPCON to the 1st Division, but they have two battalions that are here in MNDB, although one battalion is also providing support to the Marines out Then we have an MP battalion up north at Spiker.

So the formation is spread all

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

just from a formation Iraq, and so over perspective, I spend probably 65 percent of my time with those, and then with the basing function that I have, I am constantly going, interacting with the garrison commanders or BSB commanders that are out in the outlying areas about the basing issues, the pog Cap, is interacting and working supporting the formations that are located at the bases.

Then the aviation aspect of it, I spend a normal amount of time with the 36th Combat Aviation Brigade, which works for me, behalf of General Odierno also ensure that the aviation brigades that are the operating supporting MNDs are accordance with the Corps Commander's standards and rules for operating in the air evaluating their space here, as well as levels, necessarily from not maintenance perspective because those are all good, but from an effectiveness, how they are

* SECRET*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* SECRET*

15

fighting and employing their formations. 1 2 Well, you are the (b)(3), (b)(6) senior aviator, aren't you, sir? 3 MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: I am the 4 5 senior aviator in theater, I quess. One of 6 the W-5s told me the other day that I am the 7 senior aviator that has been deployed into 8 the theater. So I hadn't actually thought about that, but I kind of thought about it a 9 little bit, and I guess that 10 is also a true 11 statement. -- The work that I do 12 So that's 13 from the force protection aspect comes from two principal 14 formations that work for me. 15 That's Task Force 1.4a and 1.4a two joint 16 formations underneath my that are 17 supervision. 18 from a staffing function, and the COIC (Phonetic), 19 (b)(3), (b)(6) 20 who worked for the Chief of Staff here in the 21 Corps but I have a supervisory responsibility 22 for looking at, and in some cases, and in

SECRET*

accordance with General Odierno's desires of prioritizing where they are focusing their efforts on.

ask you: Relative to what turned out to be a different approach than when III Corps came to assume responsibility for (Inaudicle) -- that is to say, a shift to the physoup to the addition of five brigades and change of strategies -- obviously, a huge amount of impact for the areas that you are responsible for as the DCG for Support.

What has been the biggest challenge in those areas of your responsibility relative to taking this different direction, making this surge happen, particularly now that I think today was the day when the last of those plus-up forces are on hand?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Well, two aspects of it. The Chief of Staff and I, Joe Anderson and I, are the two primary guys that

* SECRET *

* S E C R E T *

17

interact with the Army staff through couple of meetings, the AR-2B that takes place Thursday nights on and the DA that takes place on (Inaudible) Saturday night.

In those meetings, we are able to -- As processes are being developed, we are able to alert the Army staff that these are things that are being considered. That has allowed the Army staff to be proactive in preparing, if in fact the requirement ends up being something that CENTCOM asks the Joint Staff to do.

So I would say that, first of all, General Anderson and I did a good job of setting the table for both the Joint Staff and for the Army staff in being able to anticipate the requirement for the surge.

I think the first time I raised this as an issue was in December, that I thought that this was going to be a possibility and that the Army should start

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* SECRET*

looking at this as an option as we moved forward.

Now from my perspective, the most difficult thing that we have had to manage in the surge was to set the conditions for the arrival of the formations and, truthfully, Joe Anderson made most of the decisions and recommendations in this process, and I think he and the C-7, the C-7 staff and the C-8 did a magnificent job in working this.

The areas that I probably focused my most effort on during this period of time was making sure that this new logistical construct that we had was going to be able to sustain the surge without increasing the forces that we had on the ground, and we took some risks here.

b 3, b 6 The logistical

forces?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: That's right, from the logistical forces. What I challenged the 13th Sustainment Command with

* SECRET *

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

* S E C R E T *

19

was we are going to support this without increasing any sustainment formations in theater. That's what the doctrine said that we would be able to do, if we did on an area basis, that there would be economies in scale that would result, and we would be able to make it happen.

Now this was a partnership and the 377th us and Third Army in Kuwait down there with Genera Tom Robinson, they would push the logistics convoys out of Kuwait. We would get the support out of Jordan, the support out of Turkey, and those would move to our sustainment hubs and, based on the 13th's analysis, based on the guidance f I had given them, they felt like that we could sustain the surge without any significant plus-ups.

So far that has proven to be accurate. So Joe Anderson and the 7 and the 8 set the stage by using LOGCAP to create the basing conditions, and then the

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

transportation assets were coordinated to move the units in. None of them -- You know, I would say this was just in time kind of arrangements. We were still in some cases driving stakes into the ground as the troops and equipment arrived into their FOBs, but that all worked.

We also told -probably in January, and I'm pretty sure it was January, that I told the Army staff in an was fairly certain we AR-2B update that ask Division were going to for headquarters, commanding because this thing, we were going to be pushing the 1st Cav to a limit with the number of brigades that they effectively command and control in Baghdad, and that, based on my analysis -- at this time, we had not done any staff analysis, but based on my analysis, I thought that we would need an additional aviation brigade.

Originally, whenever I looked at

* SECRET*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the aviation part of it, I thought that we would need an attack helicopter battalion and probably an assault helicopter battalion, and then the more we refined the data, we told the Army we needed a combat aviation brigade.

that So was kind of the breaking stuff. And of course, stressing the Army this process was requirements, that we informed them early on was that we wanted one of these brigades and that it could be be a Stryker brigade, later in the flow; but in order for us to do offensive operations, it would have to be -to have an additional Stryker Brigade

So condition there some were settings that we had to do in order to put the logistics in place to support an additional Stryker formation here. Having two on the ground increased the contract logistic support and the systems in place in order to be able to do that, and get

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* SECRET*

the right ammunition and fuel posture for those formations as they came in.

Now this -- You know, we are in a combat operation here. So we weren't going to wait to employ the formations once they arrived, once they had gotten on the ground and had gone through a kind of right seat/left seat ride, battle space orientation.

Not only were we logistically preparing for the arrival of the additional brigades, but we had to adjust and sustain another brigade combat team in the fight as we were moving into JSSs and to COPs, which meant we had to move barriers, barrier material, communications, security apparatus and stuff--

(b)(3),(b)(6) : Life support?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: -- life support at the same time we were strategically moving BCTs in. We had already developed and successfully executed a

* SECRET*

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

* SECRET*

tactical logistics shift to be able to support the brigades as they came in tactically in their fight.

I would say that this -- This is one of those things that came out of the modular construct of the new BCTs, that the organization of the BCTs and the logistics capability that is now inherent in them enabled all that to happen.

So it was — You were working strategic, operational and tactical stuff all at the same time. We shifted coverage as the Third Infantry Division got in here, and we had one aviation brigade provide coverage for two divisions, something that has not been done in a long time. Actually, I don't think it's been done since Vietnam.

b 3, b 6 brigade up in the 1st Cavalry Division did that, and then as (b)(3),(b)(6) brought his brigade in, got them on the ground. That was probably the most fantastic piece of work done in theater, was

* SECRET*

transforming the buy-up over here into being 1 2 able to bring that aviation brigade in here. It was actually fantastic what was done over 3 there. 4 5 It actually an Air was 6 lieutenant colonel that headed up that team, 7 an engineer that headed up that team that 8 brought all of those moving pieces together, brigade and now 9 (b)(3), (b)(6) has assumed 10 battle space and picked up that mission. 11 b 3 b 6 responsible So we had like the command and control 12 for it, but 13 the Third Infantry Division was package for provided out of 36th Combat Aviation Brigade. 14 15 mean, it was just a real mark of So 16 different professional conduct as these 17 formations blended and merged, broke apart, 18 went back, as we made all of this stuff come together. 19 20 Sir, let b 3, b 6 21 the logistics piece of up on 22 surge. There lot made back in

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

ECRET*

* SECRET*

Washington that initially, you know, Bush had said five brigades, about 20,000, 22,000, and then, you know, about a month or two later, it came out, well, the surge is really going to have to be plussed-up to about 30,000, because you have to have all the enablers and the logistics people come in with them.

So what other additional -- I mean, you said that there was very few logistic troops.

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: There were very few logistics broops, but there were a significant number of enablers that had to come in that are not organic to the brigade combat team.

63,66 : Right.

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: We had to bring in a civil affairs company. We had to bring in route clearance teams. We had to bring in postal platoons. We had to bring in -- There were psychological warfare detachments.

* SECRET*

* SECRET *

26

1 Anymore Intel b 3, b 6 2 troops? Public 3 MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: No. affairs detachments. All those things that 4 5 enable the formation, and we also had to bring in additional electronic warfare folks 6 7 to plug into those formations in order to 8 enable them to be able to do their 9 b 3, b 6 the brigades division? 10 that came in -- How about 11 the division headquarters, did it bring 12 anymore logistics troops? 13 GENERAL SIMMONS: No. No, did not. 14 15 Sir, relative to b 3, b 6 16 able to support this, I'm just curious 17 to know your thoughts on the role of the 18 contractors, because this war has been unique in the sense of our use of contractors, both 19 20 in terms of at the low level -- third country 21 nationals are coming here to help get the

* SECRET*

work done, and also the larger equipment

needs that are coming in to supply us with audibility in terms of logistics, intelligence, etcetera.

How big a role did they play in being able to support the surge, and also in the ongoing logistic support of the fight?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Web, first of all, I do not think that, to my knowledge, that the American Army has ever fought without contractors.

statement, sir.

GENERAL SIMMONS: I'm fairly certain of that. And I think that we took lessons learned out of Desert Shield, the Desert Storm, and the years that we provided the operation that took place in Kuwait and the operation that took place out of Turkey in ensuring that Iraq -- or trying to ensure that Iraq did not do something against its neighbors or complying with the rules of the termination of Desert Shield/Desert the

* SECRET*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Storm, that I think we set in place there a series of mechanisms that said contractors are going to be a part of this. But I mean, you know, LOGCAP, which is the Army's doctrine for how you do this, has been in place now for over 20 years.

So it was a natural thought process that the contractors were going to be here with us as we did this.

Now I'm not sure that at the outset of this we envisioned that the contractor formations would look like they do. I think that has ebbed and flowed over the time that we are here.

fit, Where does it and capability does it give us? Well, one, it has enabled to make the strategic us logistics functions without adding additional logistics formations.

So the white trucks that run out of Kuwait, Jordan, Turkey, carrying supplies here into theater, we are doing those with

* SECRET *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

contracted assets as opposed to green trucks that would be carrying the load, which would then have put additional stress on the forces for being able to meet the requirements.

modifications equipment For to based off of either improvements or things that necessary based tactics, were on procedures techniques and employed, using contractors make modifications solely as soldiers to do that don't get me wrong; we use soldiers in, I think, everyone of these to more quickly make the enabled modifications in order to protect the force better than what it had been before we made modifications.

In the aviation arena, it has given us the opportunity to produce more flying hours than we would have with just green suiters. Right now, it looks like we are on track got probably fly more than 400,000 hours this year, maybe 500,000 hours

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

30

this year in Iraq.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

envisioned that, you know, even just a couple of years ago. So I think -- One, I think there is significant historical precedents for us using them. I think they have for the most part been managed effectively, and they have been a significant combat multiplier being here.

like verything human beings are involved in, you are going to have some things that did not work out from the way were supposed to. some people, some companies, some contractors, that say they can do thing, but they cannot actually live up to what they committed themselves to, and so you have to have oversight as you are executing contracts in order for you to ensure that you what getting exactly the American are taxpayer paid for whenever you hire somebody to do it.

* SECRET *

SECRET*

31

1 b 3, b 6 2 comment 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Sir, can on the pending TOA of the 13th Support Command Expeditionary and the 316th ESC? Will the MNC be more logistically capable with the newer modular support command, because I believe the -- Correct me if I'm wrong, but the 316th is the modular support command.

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMO Yeah. try to explain is -- I'll take a second how we got to where we

The 18th transitioned to the new MTO (Phonetic) before they deployed, but in for this new organization to function effectively, you have to first convert the higher level theater sustainment command, and that had not taken place.

So the 377th was a legacy organization operating under legacy rules. So even though we on paper converted the 13th, we turned right around and submitted an RFF to bring them back up to their COSCOM

SECRET*

strength before they deployed over here.

Now the 377th is being replaced by the 1st Theater Sustainment Command out of Fort Bragg, and that now enables us to put a transformed sustainment command expeditionary in to replace the 13th.

We have had some questions about whether or not this new construct is going to be as efficient and as effective as the 13th.

I believe that it will be, but it is going to change some ways that we have done things in the past.

There is not a significant materiel management capability in the 316th. So that is supposed to migrate to the C-4 shop and down to the brigade combat teams, really, because there is no capability in the division to do that.

So that will make most of us who are older uncomfortable with not being able to know exactly initially who you touch to get the visibility on the materiel management

* S E C R E T *

piece of it.

The rest of it, though, I think, is going to be fairly seamless. There may be a few issues as we work our way through the ammunition management piece of it. The transportation piece of it looks very sound to me.

So I don't think we will have any significant challenges there and we have definitely proven that the Sustainment Brigades are incredibly flexible and capable formations.

So T really and truly think that most folks in theater will not know the difference, and we will go through the normal little head bending things that staffs always go through as they refigure out how to get some reports and those kind of things as we go through it, but I think right now it appears to me that it is going to work.

(b)(3),(b)(6) The Corps staff
you said, the C-4 will take over some

* SECRET*

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

materiel management responsibilities? 1 2 MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Right. 3 Yes, and as always they are supposed to get -- You know, there was supposed to be a plug 4 5 of folks that go in there to do that. 6 (b)(3), (b)(6) Right. 7 MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: 8 not nearly as large or robust as what we had down in Sustainment Command. We will see how 9 10 that aspect of it works That's 11 going to have probably where I think we are the most challenges. 12 13 That takes place (b)(3), (b)(6) think. Right? 14 in July, 15 MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Right. 16 Sir, I wanted to b 3, b 6 17 change gears a little bit and get back to one 18 of your other main areas of focus, which would be the piece with regard to the IED 19 20 fight, force protection. You spoke a little 21 bit earlier about some of the different

* SECRET*

entities there, Task Force, oversight role of

* SECRET *

COIC.

depth about what the overlap is in some of those areas, which we do have a number of areas that focus on different pieces of the IED fight, in particular? It would be good to have your perspective on what they do and what you see the focus is.

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Anything we talk about on IEDs, of course, is classified, and fairly sensitive. But the IEDs account for about two-thirds of our killed in action and about two-thirds of our wounded.

We prepared to come back over here, keeping in mind this time we had a long time to prepare to come back -- We understood where we fit in the rotation to come back, and there were some key things that happened that most people don't understand nor even take note of.

Now the first one is that (b)(3), (b)(6)

* SECRET*

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 b 3, b 6 asked to stay on as 2 the Corps two. The second thing that 3 happened is who was (b)(3), (b)(6)serving as the Corps Chief of Staff, asked to 4 5 remain with the Corps and volunteered to step 6 down to serve as the Corps G-3, two acts that 7 I think were incredibly selfless in setting 8 the conditions for the 9 successful. 10 With those guys on board, 11 think they started doing some thinking, along 12 with who was at that time (b)(3), (b)(6) 13 serving Corps C-3 whenever as 14 thought process started to come about -- one 15 of the smartest guys that I have ever known, 16 -- and we came to the conclusion (b)(3), (b)(6) 17 that, if this was the thing that was doing 18 the most harm to our force, that we needed to have a Corps focus on how to fight it. 19 20 So when General Odierno came in, 21 we had done some work with JIDO (Phonetic)

* SECRET *

and with some other folks, but Colonel -- In

a discussion one night as General Odierno was describing what he was trying to get after, I think it was (b)(3),(b)(6) or it might have been b3,b6 that said, you know, what you are describing is kind of organizing staff the way we attempted to do the deep shaping operations at the corps level using attack helicopters.

General Odierno sa yeah, that's exactly what I'm talking that, really driven by General Odierno, came this idea of the COIC, the one place where Intel, Operations, Special Programs, technology could be synchronized to go after offensively go after the counter-IED

So what you end up with is a triad inside the Corps that all three of these aspects are focused on counter-IEDs. Task Force 1.4a is focused on the force protection aspect of counter-IEDs, protecting the force from IEDs through detection, once they are

* SECRET*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

detected to then assist the route clearance teams in the destruction of the IED or the rendering safe of the IED.

JCC's S-1, charged with the electronic warfare protection of the force through jamming the RC-IEDs and fielding and supervising the fielding of that aind of equipment to function in the organization.

So that is from a force protection side. The COIC was designed to identify weaknesses in the IED networks so that we could attack them.

(b)(3),(b)(6) has taken over that, former Brigade commander here during DIF-1, very good thinking, clear understanding of what his mission is, and so that is kind of the genesis of how we got after it.

Now what this does is this puts an operator into looking at the problem instead of an Intel guy. Now don't get me wrong. The Intel guys are very important in this process, but whenever an operator looks at

* SECRET *

* S E C R E T *

the information, he starts piecing together where the enemy's battle space is and how the enemy's battle space lays out.

The combat operations that we are getting ready conduct to here starting tonight are based off of that operational that we clearly identified that enemy is coming out of areas to place IEDs, that the enemy has placed INDs in order access access painful or therefore, areas and, something in there that he wants to protect and, major as result, these operations that we will start tonight in Arab Jabour and in the -- with the MEW up in the southwestern the Merchant's of corner Triangle and the 1st Brigade of the First Cav Division going into the southern part of the Triangle, and then followed Merchant's operation going later by into the an Ouarries, is designed to go after the IED networks, the folks that to qo after

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

building them, the caches where they store the weapons systems that they are using, going after the financial networks that support them, identifying where this stuff is coming from, how it is getting into country, and all of those things are the things that the COIC works.

In addition to that, they have taken some of the analysis that Task Force

14a begun, and they have turned that into a much more refined product that says here is exactly the kind of TED that you can expect, depending on where you are in Iraq, and here is the kind of insurgent that employs that kind of TED, and then from that networks have been developed in which we understand who is at the top and who the lieutenants are all the way down to emplacers, and then in a combination of us and (Inaudible), we have been going after them.

So it is not where it will end up being. It is still a work in progress, but

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

SECRET*

it has been a successful work in progress thus far, and some of the things that have come out of it have been fairly fascinating.

Whenever you get folks in that are specialists in statistics, for example -- I mean real specialists, not just amateur conduits. They really can tell you where and when things are happening.

reporter other said, well, attacks IED are yeah, that's I said, I said, they go up every year after February; and if your statisticians would have actually looked at the data for the whole time that we've been here, you would know that February is not a big month for IEDs. necessarily understand why, but we know that that's a fact. So you can always report that IEDs are up since February. And I said, and if you put it -- you know, and you report on it and I get asked a question, I will say just what I just told you. It is not new

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

information; it's been there for three and a half years. The idea of it is good.

Now the enemy is a thinking, adaptive enemy, and what we know is that, even with all the improvements that we've made with the armored Humvee, that that is not the vehicle that we need to be using here.

First of all, it was not designed to be a combat, patrolling vehicle. It was a utility vehicle, and even though we put armor on it and we put a machine gun on it and everything else, the visibility out of it doesn't allow you to acquire targets.

It doesn't allow you to acquire the IEDs, and that is why we have levied a requirement on the Department of Defense that we need a vehicle that is more survivable in this environment, that is resistant to deep and buried IEDs and survive them, but also is a vehicle that allows us to conduct combat patrolling much more effectively than what we

* SECRET *

do out of the Humvee.

(b)(3),(b)(6) Sir, just to pause for a minute. We switched gears, and you are talking about the armored Humvee being inefficient to the task.

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: That's

7 correct.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

b 3 b 6

ay Is the

MRAP an answer to that?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: The MRAP is a beginning of the answer to that. The MRAP appears to have some potential to lessen the number of casualties from deep and buried IEDs, and there are some spirals off of some work that has been done by ATEK (Phonetic) that can give us the capability in areas that EFPs are employed to provide the vehicle with additional capability to be survivable against an EFP.

It also appears that the vehicle has the potential to be sustainable in this environment and to have better engagement

* SECRET *

* SECRET *

capability and patrolling capability. So it appears that it is a -- It looks like it has the possibility to be a part of the solution against the IED fight.

(b)(3),(b)(6) Can I ask a question about that, sir? Just relative to what we have seen and your knowledge of our IED program, the enemy has proven to be very adaptive in terms of the types of IEDs, and we have suffered catastrophic casualties to vehicles that we thought would be impervious to them, M-1 tanks, Stryker vehicles.

MAJ/ GENERAL SIMMONS: I don't think there is any commander out there that I know of has ever thought that. I mean, we've had anti-tank mines around in large proliferations since World War II, and this is, in many cases, not significantly different from an anti-tank mine.

It doesn't take a whole lot of -I mean, I've got a degree in physical
education, and I can figure out what the

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.

SECRET*

* SECRET *

45

correlation is between the amount of explosives that I need in order to inflict damage on the occupants of a vehicle.

So I don't think that any of us thought that any of our vehicles are totally invincible. I don't think that. I certainly have never thought that.

Now I do believe that there was a certain level of surprise at how rapidly the adapted this to using kind technology to work against us. But if you look at other fights in other places here in the Middle East, this kind of technology has been employed in other places, and in today's environment with the Internet and instant communications, the ability to transfer that knowledge from one terrorist group to another is fairly significant.

(b)(3),(b)(6) Sir, I am going to take you back to February -- late January/early February time frame 2007 when there was a fairly large number of U.S.

* SECRET*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

helicopters shot down or crashed.

I know you did an interview with Stars and Stripes in February commenting on the insurgent methods. I read that article, and I got the impression from the article that you were very involved in the oversight and review of countering an apparent change in enemy tactics and TTPs to shoot down more helicopters.

Can you please comment on your role involving to look at the way we used aviation assets during that time, and what actions the Corps took under your direction?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Well, I will talk about this from the perspective of how it happened and how I saw it and, if you don't mind, I will even talk a little bit about the emotions of it.

This all started on the 20th of January whenever EZ-40 was shot down in which 12 American soldiers were killed. That was followed by several other instances, to

* S E C R E T *

* SECRET*

include Tarantula 1.4a which I was personally involved in, which was shot down out by Hit.

We had an Apache that was shot down in the Merchese (Phonetic) Triangle. We had a Blackwater helicopter shot down. We had a Marine Corps 46 that was shot down. Yes, we had the operation down in Pajaf in which we lost the Apache down there.

That one is different. So I am not going to talk about that one. That was the uprising that took place down there with these zealots, and that was a major combat operation that those guys got struck in.

The others, though -- The EZ 1.4a looked very similar to an engagement that happened with an Apache out of the 4th Infantry Division back during J.D. Thurmond's tour over here and an engagement that I had looked at against one of the 1st Cav Apaches, two Apaches, out of the 4th of the 227 in which there was a clearly established triangular shaped ambush that was set up

* SECRET*

using a 14.5 and a 12.7 millimeter heavy machine guns.

Of course, the big concern was were these guys using shoulder fired air defense weapons, and we believed that that was true in the case of the Marine Corps H-46, but in these other aircraft there was heavy machine guns.

you is that it made me mad as hell, and two parts of it made me mad. One was the way the news reported the event in which they never told the complete story of what happened; and two, the idea that somehow this was going to affect our ability to conduct combat operations.

So EZ 1.4a Two aircraft. The second aircraft was hit. The air crew,

b 3, b 6 who was an instructor pilot out of (b)(3), (b)(6) looked like they had everything under control, going to get it on the ground, and then our guess is the second

* SECRET*

* SECRET

or third burst of heavy machine gun fire killed the two guys up front.

So the aircraft was already on fire, crashed into the ground. His wing man, the dual gunners laid down suppressive fire. The aircraft had moved somewhat out of the engagement area, and they circled around and landed, and the two crew chiefs tried to go on the aircraft and save the guys.

What wasn't reported was that there was a second flight of two Black Hawks. In fact, they were en route to pick me up at Washington LZ, and (b)(3),(b)(6) (Phonetic) saw the aircraft go down, and these Black Hawks attacked the enemy position.

They took out the heavy machine gun that was in the bomb truck, killed the four guys that were in that truck, and then they conducted a series of attacks using the door gunners against the other two positions. That forced the enemy to flee the battlefield.

As a result, they set

the conditions for the rest of the operations that took place in there that night. They came around and landed, also secured the BLZ or the crash site.

Because of their activity, because of the actions that (b)(3), (b)(6) and the crews took, and the interviews that were conducted afterwards in which I am the one that got to sit down with them, it was ver clear to me a deliberate operation that this was, one, and that it was most likely based off of something that we were doing that had made us predictable that aircraft were going to fly through that particular place, and this is a patient enemy. So my guess was is that they had reconned this, and they had done some deliberate planning, and that they had sprung the attack on these aircraft.

So what did we do? Following the engagement on Tarantula 1.4a which I was on, we got all the aviation commanders together, and we did a review of the tactics that the

* SECRET*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

enemy had used in this operation.

We did a hard look at the terrain in which they had engaged us, the altitudes in which the aircraft were flying, and we took a hard look at whether or not we were being predictable in the way we were moving around in the battle space, and discovered that we were.

One of the reasons that we were being predictable was because we had a fairly inflexible way of turning the air space on and off based off of whether or not we had a special operation going in or we had a tick declared and the CAS had been called out on the tick.

So the first thing we did was refine (Inaudible) and air space control measures so that we had more air space for the flight crews to be able to utilize as they executed their missions.

The second thing that we did was we focused intel assets, both -- This is all

* SECRET*

* S E C R E T *

52

classified. -- both traditional ISR, full motion video stuff, as well as Signia and human assets, and as a result, we were able to capture one of the guys that was involved in the shootdown, which led to some development of some more information. following that, we captured a video up MND-North's area battle space in which it was the training video for they doing it.

Once we got that figured out, then we started targeting, looking for them, figuring out the terrain that they needed to set up these triangular shaped ambushes and then we started going after them.

Many times it would be a UAV that would pick them up, and then we would bring Apaches in or (Inaudible) to attack them. We had one out here the other day in which we had two Apaches that caught them on the move and took out five 14.5s in the back of Bongo trucks.

* SECRET *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* SECRET*

Now we've gotten so smart with what we are doing, the Apaches pulled out. We had a UAV in at altitude. The bad guys come in to pick up the weapons and police the bodies up, and we tracked them right back to the safe house, and then came in with another Apache and took out the car and the pase.

So did I have something to do with this? Yes, I had something to do with it, but the majority of the work was done by

and

in the aviation community who were not going to let the knemy dictate how we were conducting our operations over here.

Are our aircraft engaged? Yes. About 100 aircraft are engaged every month, and about 14 or 15 of them are hit every month, but those, generally speaking, are isolated incidents in which we surprise somebody who is doing something wrong and they engage the aircraft with one or two weapons systems. That's different from these

* SECRET*

* SECRET *

54

deliberate ambushes that they set up to engage the aircraft.

It's a thinking, adaptive enemy.

I think they got a pretty good idea right now
that we have figured out what they are doing
and, if they go to set one of these things
up, we are going to be coming after them.

So I am fairly certain they will change the way they do things as we move much But we successful in killing these guys than what anybody is willing to report. I went up and presented the two Black Hawk crews, Over Star and the other -- and his co-pilot a Distinguished Flying Cross, and wing his man, both of those quys Distinguished Flying Crosses, and the aircrew that landed in the battle space out there Air Medal with V Devices, as did the door guys.

Until General Petraeus decided that he would come up here, we had one reporter that was going to cover the event,

* SECRET *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* SECRET*

55

because no one is interested in hearing about how our guys are being successful.

(b)(3),(b)(6) But, sir, you said you brought all the aviator commanders together. You chaired that. So it's the Corps that brought that conference together.

Is that correct?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS That's

correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(b)(3), (b)(6)

All right.

subject here ferent gears, relative to equipment: You talked a little bit about the MRAP, but in general I just your perspective on what has been the strategy as far as replacement of combat loss type of equipment, thinking in particular about Strykers because they have proved to be very successful, and the issue of trying to get as many Stryker brigades out there. It has been a ready stream of replacement equipment or is the strategy to press on with what is on hand?

* SECRET*

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

56

SIMMONS: MAJ. GENERAL Oh, Since the very beginning, we have had a --I mean the beginning of the Corps' Well, operations here, not just this time but the last time. We had a fairly sound battle loss strategy in place that was really based off of Army doctrine that had to be modified rapidly because of how environment changed from a conventional ght to a COIN But we Together two-pronged strategy have a replacing battle losses.

The first thing is we evacuate to the full second the brigade that's up at Balad to determine if it is possible to field repair the vehicle to a level that it can be returned to action, and they have incredible capability up there to do that.

The second one, of course, is the traditional method of bringing in replacement vehicles from out of stocks either in Kuwait or from the United States.

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

Generally speaking, we have been able to sustain the formations with sufficient resources to replace our battle losses usually within 30 to 45 days of them losing a vehicle, if not sooner.

Some of it has to do with transportation time. Some of it has to do with putting the GFE in the equipment, the commo equipment, and everything else to get it up to an operating status.

Strykers: Last month we had more losses on Strykers than what is habitually programmed for Asked the Army staff to help us with that problem. They have shipped us 14 Strykers out of the production line in order to bring us back up so that we've got the right pool of vehicles to sustain combat operations uninterrupted.

The Army -- The Army has been very, very good at doing that. Same thing with aircraft. In addition to having some floats here in theater, we have done very

* S E C R E T *

well. Whenever we have a loss, Major General Jim Goldsbury, the AMCOM Commander, of identifying a resources, and the Army G-3 directing that resource be flown here into theater. We put it back together, and get back into the fight.

(b)(3),(b)(6) Great. Sir, I want to talk a little bit about the old Iraqi ammunition dumps. Do you have anything to do with the oversight of the sealing of those or the security of those or the overwatch of those?

MAD GENERAL SIMMONS: Well, to some degree, as DCG I have some kind of supervisory responsibility over everything. But, yes, this kind of ties in with -- When we were here last time, this was a high priority to get these things cleaned up, destroy the ammunition, make it unusable, and this was done through a contracted process, generally.

Well, when I first got here, we * SECRET*

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

* S E C R E T *

had artillery units that were hauling the stuff down, and then you would have five or six explosions around here every night as we detonated the stuff, just down south of here.

That shifted to а contracted operation in which some companies came that were clearing these. Now the problem came out of this didn't was enough troops on the ground here to secure ground in Iraq and, every piece of had the opportunities result, the insurgents and take quantities large these things and create ammunition caches all over Iraq.

The other problem that we had is I'm not sure we knew where they all were, and I think it took us some time to figure out who we needed to ask who could tell us where they all were.

So between other agencies of our government and our own resources, we finally got a decent plan put together, and these

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

60

things were gone after.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now when we came in this time, we convinced that the ammunition for were supplying a lot of the IEDs had to be coming from these old ammunition dumps and, enough, they were. Now I'm not sure I know exactly how that happened. I just know that it was happening, and we've got wideo of it happening with Kiowa warriors up in MND-North watching the guys coming out of holes in the ground carrying large quantities.

We went in and found huge quantities of this stuff. That was still in the old ammo dump that was supposedly cleared and cleaned up.

So we have reintensified that effort, and now that is a required reporting issue for the MNDs, that they are in fact going out and making sure these things are cleared, and that somebody is checking on them, and that there actually is not stuff coming out of them.

* SECRET *

* SECRET*

There is so much ammunition here that I would never be foolish enough to say we got it all. We were flying out of Al Asad the other day, and we flew over -- what did you say? -- 100-150 bombs.

b3,b6 : Sitting in the

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Sitting in the desert. So, I mean, it's You know, in 1982-'83 I set down on the southern tip of the Sinai Peninsula, and every day I would take photographs of ships coming from the Soviet Union that were docking in Akaba and were loaded with military equipment.

So I got a pretty good idea of how much stuff was up here, just from the sense of those large ships making that trek every two or three days in there. I think we have got at a lot of it, but I have never seen a place that had so much ammunition.

(b)(3),(b)(6) Sir, I really just wanted to maybe come around to you. I * SECRET*

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

desert?

know how many more questions -- I 1 2 wanted to come back around to a last question about your feelings about why you stayed with 3 the staff. 4 5 Sir, I am going to (b)(6)6 repeat the question then that I asked you 7 about during the intermission we had and it 8 was, if I recall, how does a General get to stay in one position for 9 four years? 10 And you were talking what 11 opportunity this was and that you wanted to come back with the 3rd Corps, and I wondered 12 13 if you just would pick up on that. 14 GENERAL SIMMONS: Okay. We 15 Corps was coming back. knew I mean, it 16 was known basically from the time we got back 17 that we would -- last time, that we would be 18 coming back, and we had some very talented people inside the organization that made the 19 20 decision to stay. 21 is the two, and b 3 b 6

> NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Chief

ECRET*

of

(b)(3), (b)(6)

22

Staff

volunteered to move down to be the Corps G-3.

Whenever I learned that General Odierno was coming in to be the Corps Commander, I felt like that he would be a great Corps Commander.

So whenever the Army asked me, you know, what I wanted to do, and they gave me the opportunity to go do a couple of things, I asked to remain with the Corps and come back over, because I felt like that we had an opportunity to do something significant with level of talent and organization and the experience would have we leadership of General Odierno, a man that I immense respect for, have known for a have We were brigade commanders at the long time. same time, and I felt like that -- Honestly, I felt like we owed it to the nation and to the soldiers to bring as much of the team back together as we could for the potential that we could bring this thing to a close.

So you know, I mean, it wasn't my

* SECRET *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

decision alone. First all, of General Odierno had to agree that he would like to have as his Deputy. had me We conversation before he took command. Не called me from Washington. He asked me to stay as well.

Of course, my wife had something to say about it. And so it seemed like to be the right decision.

there another this, too, and you know to be totally honest with you. I was mad as hell whenever they sent me back to be the CG at Fort Hood. fairly satisfying turned to be а experience, felt like that we but I 30,000 soldiers over here who did not receive the benefit of having Deputy а Corps Commander now, and I have been pretty much a pain in everybody's butt about that topic ever since.

So whenever the decision was made that the Corps' DCG would deploy, then I felt

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

had

65

obligated because of the argument that I had been making with the Army for two years that I should be the guy to go and do it.

Although General Hahn (Phonetic) came here as the U.S. DCG, it was not lined up the way we are doing it. General Odierno has had an absolute stroke of genius in doing nothing but changing names, because by saying that Jerry Durbin (Phonetic) the Deputy for Operations Commanding General I'm the Deputy Commanding General for Support Devlin is and that Peter the Deputy Commanding General for Coalition, it tells the subordinate commanders and the subordinate DCGs and the subordinate Chiefs of Staff who they need to pick up the phone and call.

It also tells the Corps staff here is the guy that this idea or this thought has got to go through. Now the other -- If I have done anything here of value for the Corps in this role is that over the time that

* SECRET *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

I have been here, I have come to understand how a senior operational staff becomes

3 effective.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

There is only one commander, and there is only one set of priorities, and that is General Odierno. And his priorities are directed to the staff through one guy, that's the Corps Chief of Staf# their duties **DCGs** are executing and responsibilities out, as problems, they identify things that have to be fixed, they go to the Chief of Staff who prioritizes those requirements Odierno's established priorities to General direct the staff to get after them.

Organizations become dysfunctional whenever the DCGs come back and they go to the there or they go to the two and they telling the staff to do something, only because there can be one set priorities. So, you know, my first duty is when the new guys come in, which they do --

* S E C R E T *

* SECRET*

67

they change out; the DCGs change out -- you 1 2 know, I sit down and talk to them. 3 You know, General Berragan, absolutely no issues. He's been a Chief of 4 5 Staff at a high level. General Devlin, absolutely no issues, because he has been 6 7 both a commander and a senior staff officer. 8 So we understand it. Just frankly 9 sure the team that was before 10 understood that part. 11 spect of it, I think, So that makes this headquarters as effective as it 12 13 that's probably my one tiny So 14 to what the organization is contribution 15 doing over here. 16 We are just about (b)(3), (b)(6) 17 done. In fact, my only last question, sir, 18 was going to be is there anything we haven't touched upon here that --19 20 : I've got one other. 21 Do you want to (b)(3), (b)(6)

* SECRET*

try and get this last couple of minutes on

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

tape then? Okay, we'll press on without (Inaudible) then. We don't need that.

if you will -- and I don't know whether that is the right word -- the Iraqi borders -- I wondered -- Somehow I've got your name involved with that effort, and I've ead the operations order on it.

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Yes. I'm a radical whenever it comes to the borders here in that I don't think that we are going after it the right way at all.

which I'm not, I would declare the border ports as trained, because they are as trained as we are ever going to make them, and I would take our border training transition teams that we have out there, and I would focus on the legitimate POEs coming into Iraq, because most of the bad stuff that is actually coming in here that hurts us, we believe, actually comes through the POEs.

* SECRET*

So if you get these ports of entry to where they are actually enforcing Iraqi is more profitable for them it law, enforce Iraqi law than it is to take bribes for guys to come through. You know, you can either do that through (Inaudible) perspective prison, if orthey following the rules correctly.

Then I think what that will do is then that will force the bad guys that are bringing bad stuff into this country to then go to the traditional smuggling routes and as a result, there will be an come in, that traffic which we can focus increase ISR on, and then do we can interdiction against operations those activities.

Now sometimes we are going to get folks that are bringing dates and sheep across and bundles of cigarettes on the backs of donkeys. But that's all we are getting at the border ports now anyway.

* SECRET *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* SECRET *

So this, I think, would give us higher probability of forcing the bad guys to do something different from what they have been doing, and at least interrupt the ease with which they get stuff into the country.

> (b)(6)All right. Thank you,

sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(202) 234-4433

b 3. b 6 anything we haven't touched upon that think is something that we ought to make sure we catch at this time with you?

MAJ. GENERAL SIMMONS: Well, I would tell you that, emotionally speaking, spend a lot of time trying to educate -- I think that would be the right word -- educate the subordinate commanders and their staffs about a couple of things that I think are incredibly important.

The first one is about the media. In a democratic nation, the media is at least as important as the military, because it is the media or journalists that report to

SECRET*

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

* S E C R E T *

71

the American people and actually through their reporting holds our government accountable for what it does. You could say it holds our generals accountable for what it does.

In this particular conflict, the amount of criticism leveraged by the media on the President and/or the generals is no more severe than it has been in any other war. If you go back and look at World War II, President Roosevelt took huge criticism every day in the newspapers. So I mean, that aspect of it has not changed.

Patton in and out of trouble with the press and Eisenhower being criticized. So that aspect of it has not changed, and we as professionals now -- this is a professional Army -- should not be surprised or upset with that.

On the other side of the coin, the American media, and the British media, as a

* S E C R E T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

72

matter of fact, too, has broken trust with the people of their countries in that they have not told the story that appeared on the front pages of newspapers throughout our history of the American soldier who has closed with and killed the enemy -- in other words, the American hero.

I am disturbed with that, and I think that the journalists have broken faith with the American people by not telling that story. Even in Vietnam, they told the story of the heroes, and that aspect of it is absolutely not covered here.

greatest is the next generati Americans. This is а generation that has volunteered to come in and take a stand for America. Now they came the Army for hundreds of different reasons, some of them to get a college education, some of them because they didn't know what else to do, some of them, you know, as an opportunity to put bread on the table,

* SECRET *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to take care of a family.

They fight for the same reasons that their grandfathers and fathers before them fought. They fight for each other, and they are so courageous, and they have so much trust and confidence in their sergeants that they do not recognize valor.

An event that would have won very high decoration in previous wars is submitted as something that is normal activity here, because our youngsters are so incredibly valorous, and they have so much trust in their sergeants that they don't report it as anything significant at all.

I would love to see those great kids that go outside the line every day get their due recognition with the American people.

(b)(3), (b)(6) Great. Thanks very much, sir.

Thank you very much, sir. That concludes this interview.

* SECRET *

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Declassified by: MG Michael X. Garrett, USCENTCOM Chief of Staff Declassified on: 201505

* SECRET *

APProved for Release

* SECRET *

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701